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Avery E. Baumann, Xu Han, Megan M. Butala, V. Sara Thoi

ABSTRACT: Zirconium metal–organic frameworks (Zr-MOFs) are renowned for their extraordinary stability and versatile chemical tunability. Several Zr-MOFs demonstrate a tolerance for missing linker defects, which create “open sites” that can be used to bind guest molecules on the node cluster. Herein, we strategically utilize these sites to stabilize reactive lithium thiophosphate (Li$_3$PS$_4$) within the porous framework for targeted application in lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries. Successful functionalization of the Zr-MOF with PS$_4^{3-}$ is confirmed by an array of techniques including NMR, XPS, and Raman spectroscopy, X-ray pair distribution function analysis, and various elemental analyses. During electrochemical cycling, we find that even a low incorporation extent of lithium thiophosphate in Zr-MOFs improves sulfur utilization and polysulfide encapsulation to deliver a sustainably high capacity over prolonged cycling. The functionalized MOF additives also prevent cell damage under abusive cycling conditions and recover high capacities when the cell is returned to lower charge/discharge rates, imperative for future energy storage devices. Our unique approach marries the promising chemical attributes of the purely inorganic Li$_3$PS$_4$ with the stability and high surface area of MOFs, creating a Li–S cathode architecture with a performance beyond the sum of its component parts. More broadly, this novel functionalization strategy opens new avenues for facile syntheses of “designer materials” where chemical components from discrete disciplines can be united and tailored for specific applications.

INTRODUCTION

Owing to their high stability, synthetic versatility, and porosity, zirconium metal–organic frameworks (Zr-MOFs) have been widely used in chemical storage and separations, catalysis, chemical sensing, and drug delivery. Zr-MOFs with a hexanuclear zirconium node structure are amenable to missing linker or cluster defects and postsynthetic modification, affording high chemical and physical tunability. These defects produce “open sites” on the Zr centers where the multtopic organic linker is not present. The open sites are available to bind substrates for chemical transformation, while the acidic protons in the defected cluster can facilitate catalytic hydrolysis reactions. For instance, Zr-MOFs catalyze organophosphorus hydrolysis and have been used to neutralize chemical warfare agents. Functionalized NU-1000 and MOF-808 utilize these open sites to anchor phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) or sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) groups to enable efficient catalytic organic reactions. Beyond chemical conversion, open sites on the Zr-MOF node have an affinity for contaminants such as arsenic, antimony, lead, and various other metal ions with a demonstrated efficacy in water purification.

Inspired by the versatile functionality of Zr nodes with phosphates and other small ionic molecules, we synthesized a series of Zr-MOFs with a lithium thiophosphate moiety coordinated to the open sites to anchor soluble sulfur species in lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries (Scheme 1). The ability to sequester these polysulfide species diminishes the extent of active material leached from the cathode and prevents passivation of the anode surface by migrating sulfur species. Limiting both of these phenomena results in high capacity delivery and prolonged device lifetime, ultimately improving device performance.

Lithium phosphorus sulfide compounds, such as Li$_2$PS$_4$, Li$_2$P$_2$S$_5$, and Li$_2$S–P$_2$S$_5$ glasses, are well-known Li ion conductors that have been extensively studied as solid-state electrolytes in batteries. However, their use as electrode additives in battery systems has been less explored. In Li–S batteries, the thiophosphate moiety offers a solution to chemically tether soluble polysulfides and mitigate deposition of Li$_2$S/Li$_2$S$_2$ in the cathode architecture.
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pentasulfide (P$_2$S$_5$) employed as an electrolyte additive exhibits demonstrated reactivity with lithium polysulfides to form Li$_{3y}$PS$_{4x}$ in solution via S–S bond formation. However, the Li$_{3y}$PS$_{4x}$ molecules are also soluble in the electrolyte and can result in loss of active material from the cathode, limiting the cell capacity. We propose anchoring the PS$_4^{3-}$ moiety will prevent its dissolution while also allowing S–S bond formation with sulfi des species, effectively suppressing the leaching effect and improving battery performance.

Motivated by the extensive efforts to functionalize inorganic- and carbon-based materials, we sought to bind PS$_4^{3-}$ to the open sites on the MOF node. The innate porosity of the MOF enables the diffusion of Li$_2$S$_x$ within the material and provides space for encapsulation via S–S bond formation, while the metal node securely anchors the thiophosphate within the cathode. In this study, we demonstrate the ability to quantitatively control thiophosphate loading into two zirconium MOFs, UiO-66 and MOF-808. A detailed chemical and structural investigation using spectroscopic techniques and pair distribution function analysis confirms binding of PS$_4^{3-}$ at the metal node. The synthesized “LPS-MOFs” are applied as cathode additives in Li–S batteries, resulting in improved sulfur utilization and capacity retention under a variety of cycling conditions. Electrochemical characterization and postcycling analyses reveal that the thiophosphate moiety diminishes polysulfide leaching, resulting in a marked improvement in cycling performance in comparison to cells containing nonfunctionalized MOFs and those without any MOF additives. Furthermore, our functionalization strategy can be applied generally to the design of a variety of materials (MOFs, polymers, polyoxometalates, functionalized carbon, etc.) and illuminates a new avenue for the exploration of hybrid materials, exploiting the benefits of traditional solid-state materials in more accessible material platforms.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis and Characterization.** The node structure of Zr-MOFs features six metal atoms connected by bridging hydroxo, oxo, and carboxylate ligands. In a fully coordinated node, 12 carboxylates bridge each Zr atom to its neighboring atom and prevent interaction with guest species. If a portion of the nodal carboxylate ligands is removed, "open sites" (highlighted in Scheme 2) become available to bind guest molecules, providing synthetic handles for the advanced functionalization of the metal node. Several Zr-MOFs are capable of supporting these open sites, either through the inherent crystal structure (MOF-808) or by introduction of defects using a modulated synthetic approach (UiO-66). Herein, we employ these open sites to chemically tether PS$_4^{3-}$ within the MOF structure. A series of MOFs, UiO-66(noMod), UiO-66(50Benz), and MOF-808, was synthesized by modifying previously reported procedures. Potentiometric acid–base titration of each MOF (Figure S1) and BET surface area analysis were used to gauge the number of open sites (Table S1). The varying number of open sites per node in UiO-66(noMod), UiO-66(50Benz), and MOF-808 provide a platform to systematically regulate the incorporation of guest molecules at the Zr node.

We next developed a method to incorporate the PS$_4^{3-}$ moiety at these open sites while preserving the structure of the
MOF. Our efforts were complicated by the tendency of Li₃PS₄ to undergo P–S bond hydrolysis in the presence of a proton source (as shown in an NMR experiment, Figure S2a) to release hydrogen sulfide (H₂S). In addition, both the MOFs and lithium thiophosphate are sensitive to solution conditions; Zr-MOFs are unstable in water at high pH, and Li₃PS₄ is insoluble in most solvents. 39 We discovered that Li₃PS₄ (synthesized following a previous report; see the Supporting Information for details) is relatively stable in a basic triethylamine (20% by volume) and methanol solution, herein referred to as “TEA-MeOH”. To examine the reactivity of Li₃PS₄ with TEA and MeOH, we employed phosphorus nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (³¹P NMR). The ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure S2b) of the TEA-MeOH solution shows a predominant signal at 91 ppm (for the remainder of the solution NMR discussion, 1 ppm = 400 Hz), which is slightly shifted from the reported value of 87 ppm when Li₃PS₄ is dissolved under basic aqueous conditions. A low-intensity peak is observed at 128 ppm in TEA-MeOH solutions (Figure S2b). This phosphorus byproduct was not observed in the LPS-MOF samples (vide infra), suggestive that this species does not affect the loading of Li₃PS₄ into the MOF.

After evaluation of the reactivity of Li₃PS₄, successful loading of the thiophosphate was accomplished by soaking activated MOF powder in the TEA-MeOH loading solution containing ~0.05 M Li₃PS₄. After extensive washing to remove unincorporated species, the lithium thiophosphate functionalized MOFs (LPS-MOFs) were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) (Figure 1a and Figures S3 and S4), where the crystallinity and characteristic vibrational features are retained after the loading procedure. Synthesized LPS-MOFs also show an increased tolerance to air exposure in comparison to the Li₃PS₄ solid as observed by FT-IR (Figure S5).

Elemental analyses confirmed that the extent of lithium thiophosphate loading is correlated with the number of open sites. The digested samples show increasing Li and P content in the order UiO-66(noMod) < UiO-66(50Benz) < MOF-808 (Figure 1b and Table S2). Owing to its larger pore size and higher number of open sites, MOF-808 can incorporate additional PS₄³⁻ units when the stoichiometric loading ratio is increased from 0.7 to 2 equiv. Corroborating this result, the S/Zr elemental ratio from energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) also demonstrated that the number of open sites and stoichiometry used determine the quantity of incorporated thiophosphate (Table S2). However, our incorporation method only functionalized a fraction of the total available sites (Table S3), suggesting future method development may enable even greater thiophosphate integration.

The successful incorporation of the thiophosphate moiety was further confirmed by solution-state ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. Functionalized LPS-MOFs were first soaked in an aqueous 1 M sodium hydroxide solution overnight to digest the MOF and release incorporated guest molecules. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of digested LPS-UiO-66(50Benz) shows a single peak at 89 ppm (Figure 1c), which is assigned as PS₄³⁻. For reference, partially hydrolyzed thiophosphate species, such as PS₃O−, PS₂O₃⁻, and PSO₃⁻, appear at shifts <86 ppm (Figure S2a), evincing that our synthetic conditions do not lead to P–S bond hydrolysis in the UiO-66 or MOF-808 samples (Figure S6). 40 Our characterization efforts thus prove that careful selection of both the solvent and base employed in the synthesis allows for the controllable loading of thiophosphate and preservation of the MOF structure.

To interrogate the nature of the thiophosphate in the framework, LPS-MOF samples were examined by solid-state ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. The air-free solid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum of LPS-UiO-66(50Benz) (Figure 1d) shows the existence of a phosphorus signal centered at 96.5 ppm (for the remainder of the solid-state NMR discussion, 1 ppm = 500 Hz), which confirms that the PS₄³⁻ moiety is not hydrolyzed during the loading procedure. This value is shifted from the solid-state Li₃PS₄ signal reported at 87 ppm, suggesting that the local environment of the encapsulated thiophosphate is chemically different from crystalline Li₃PS₄. 41 Additive scans taken over 24 h begin to show the development of a broad peak centered at 52 ppm, corresponding to a mixed P–S/P–O species formed via P–S bond hydrolysis due to air exposure in the sample holder (Figure S7). The phosphorus signal at −1 ppm is assigned to fully hydrolyzed phosphate and appears only after the first 6 h of exposure. A proposed degradation mechanism illustrating this process is provided in Scheme S1.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) provides insight regarding the chemical environment of both the thiophosphate and Zr node in LPS-MOFs. Collected spectra demonstrate that both the Zr 3d and S 2p orbitals are electronically perturbed upon incorporation of PS₄³⁻ into the MOF structure (Figure 2 and Figure S8). The red shift in the binding energy of the Zr 3d₃/₂ orbital from 182.8 to 182.3 eV observed for the 2LPS-MOF-808 sample suggests that the Zr node is binding to the thiophosphate moiety, which is less electronegative than the pair of aquo and hydroxide ligands. The spectrum also features a shoulder that can be fitted to values more appropriate for a Zr–S bonding environment than for Zr–O (Figure 2a). 42

Figure 1. (a) XRD of LPS-UiO-66(50Benz) showing that MOF peaks remain intact after thiophosphate incorporation. (b) Tunable lithium thiophosphate loading attainable in LPS-MOF samples, evidenced by elemental quantification of Li (solid) and P (striped). ³¹P NMR of (c) digested LPS-UiO-66(50Benz) in 1 M aqueous sodium hydroxide solution and (d) as-synthesized solid-state LPS-UiO-66(50Benz), indicating that the thiophosphate remains intact. After extended air exposure, hydrolysis products are observable in the solid-state ³¹P NMR (signal additive over time).
Upon extended air exposure, the peak at 182.3 eV is shifted positively to 182.5 eV, consistent with the replacement of some Zr−S bonds with Zr−O bonds via thiophosphate hydrolysis. We note that the changes in the Zr 3d spectrum are slight, as only a small fraction of Zr atoms are influenced by thiophosphate binding; the majority of the Zr centers are still bound to O ligands even after functionalization. More drastic changes are observed for the S 2p binding energy upon incorporation into the framework. The single S environment in Li₃PS₄ (S 2p₁/₂ binding energy of 160.5 eV) transforms to multiple distinct species observed in the 2xLPS-MOF-808 sample with binding energies of 166.2, 164.3, and 161.5 eV for the S 2p₁/₂ orbitals (Figure 2b). The 164.3 eV binding energy signal is consistent with sulfides bound to highly oxidized Zr⁺⁺⁺⁺ metal nodes, while the 161.5 eV signal is attributed to the unbound sulfur atoms on the same PS₄³⁻ group. The binding energy of 166.2 eV is representative of oxidized sulfur species, which we attribute to the oxidation of the material surface during handling, as no S−O features are observed in the bulk material by Raman spectroscopy (Figure S9). XPS spectra of the P 2p region also corroborates that LPS-MOFs feature a thiophosphate environment different from that of Li₃PS₄, although the signal to noise is too low for a more substantive discussion (Figure S8). Perturbation of Zr, S, and P binding energies and the appearance of new sulfur and phosphorus environments are in line with our hypothesis that the thiophosphate moiety binds to the Zr node.

Raman spectroscopy provides another handle to probe the chemical changes in the functionalized Zr-MOFs (Figure 3a).

The low-frequency region from 200 to 400 cm⁻¹ is important for characterizing the Zr node structure. In the Raman spectra of UiO-66(50Benz), two features at 250 and 280 cm⁻¹ are attributed to Zr−O stretches, consistent with previous reports. Upon introduction of thiophosphate, a broad feature dominates this region (the maximum intensity is at 273 cm⁻¹), indicating that the introduction of the thiophosphate alters the vibrational modes of the Zr node. This hypothesis is supported by the appearance of a broad feature centered at 323 cm⁻¹, consistent with previously assigned Zr−S stretching frequencies (Figure S9). A new peak at 412 cm⁻¹ is also present, again shifted slightly from the P−S stretching frequency in Li₃PS₄ (420 cm⁻¹). After air exposure to the LPS-MOF, slight differences in the peak shape and position are observed in the Zr−O and Zr−S regions (Figure S9a), suggesting at least a portion of the thiophosphate molecules are removed from the node upon exposure to air. In combination with other characterization methods (vide infra), the apparent Raman shifts of the Zr node strongly support that PS₄³⁻ binds to the open sites on the Zr node.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) also supports our hypothesis that PS₄³⁻ chemically reacts with the Zr node (Figure S10). In the derivative TGA, the decomposition of LPS-UiO-66(50Benz) is shifted to a higher temperature (550 °C) in comparison to that of the parent structure UiO-66(50Benz) (515 °C). Similarly, MOF-808 also exhibits improved framework stability upon thiophosphate loading, as the decomposition temperature increases from 560 to 590 °C for 1xLPS-MOF-808. Furthermore, TGA of the 1xLPS-MOF-808 sample exposed to air shows both MOF decomposition events at 560 and 590 °C, indicating that multiple node structures are present after partial thiophosphate hydrolysis.

X-ray pair distribution function (PDF) analysis elucidates additional structural information regarding the Zr node. X-ray PDF data for MOF-808, 1xLPS-MOF-808, and 1xLPS-MOF-808 exposed to air show subtle differences in the positions and intensities of atom−atom correlations (Figure 3b and Figure S11). On comparison of the first the MOF-808 and 1xLPS-MOF-808 PDF data, shifts in the most prominent correlations, for Zr−O (∼2.2 Å) and Zr−Zr (∼3.5 Å), verify that the introduction of PS₄³⁻ influences the MOF node structure, consistent with our XPS, Raman, and TGA results. These values return to match those of the unloaded MOF-808 sample upon air exposure, another common theme across our
various characterization methods (a more detailed explanation is provided in Scheme S1). These results are consistent with simulated PDF of a single modified Zr node with one coordinated linker and one PS$_3^-$ molecule (Figure 3c, Figures S11–S14, and Table S4). Further discussions, peak identifications, simulated PDF patterns, and analyses are included in the Supporting Information. Our combined efforts detail that the chemical and structural changes imparted upon introducing PS$_3^-$ into the MOF confirm binding at the Zr node.

**Electrochemistry and Li–S Cycling.** With confidence that the PS$_3^-$ moiety is anchored within the MOF, we explored the electrochemical influence of LPS-MOFs in Li–S batteries. A homogenized slurry of 30% MOF (by mass), 45% sulfur, 15% Super-P carbon, and 10% PVDF was cast onto carbon paper disks in an Ar-filled glovebox to form the MOF composite cathodes. Once dry, the composite cathode and Li metal anode were assembled into a coin cell with a Celgard separator and an electrolyte of 1 M LiTFSI in equal volumes of DOL and DME with a 2% LiNO$_3$ additive (by mass). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments on the coin cells (Figure S15) showed two cathodic events corresponding to the reduction of S$_x$ to Li$_2$S$_x$ ($x = 4–8$) and the reduction of long-chain polysulfides to short-chain polysulfides ($x < 4$). To observe the interactions between thiophosphate and sulfur in the MOF, UiO-LPS-MOF-808 was impregnated with molten sulfur (LPS-MOF-808@S). CVs of cells prepared with the sulfur-loaded MOF exhibit lower overpotentials for both reductive and oxidative events in comparison to those containing MOFs physically mixed with sulfur (Figure S16). Although preloaded LPS-MOF-808@S generally showed poor sulfur utilization due to a low sulfur loading, changes observed in CVs demonstrate that the thiophosphate in the MOF directly interacts with polysulfides electrochemically and plays a role in galvanostatic cycling. Furthermore, UV–vis spectroscopy of polysulfide solutions in the presence of the MOFs showed that the frameworks are able to sequester solubilized polysulfides effectively (Figure S17), leading to a visible color change of the LPS-MOF powders (Figure S18).

Li–S batteries are evaluated by both their deliverable maximum capacity and their ability to retain capacity through extended cycling. For galvanostatic cycling experiments, at least three coin cells of the same material were cycled at a charge/discharge rate (“C rate”, where 1C = fully charged or discharged in 1 h) of C/10 (168 mA g$^{-1}$ S) for 20 cycles, followed by 80 cycles at a rate of C/5 (336 mA g$^{-1}$ S) unless otherwise noted. The cycling performance of Li–S cells with LPS-Uio-66(noMod), LPS-Uio-66(50Benz), and Uio-66 are shown in Figure 4a. LPS-MOF composite cathodes yield significantly higher maximum capacities than the analogous MOF electrodes, averaging 1193, 1172, and 891 mAh g$^{-1}$ for LPS-Uio-66(50Benz), LPS-Uio-66(noMod), and Uio-66, respectively (Figure 5a). The increase in the maximum capacity is consistent with the increased lithium content measured by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) (Table S2). This corroborates the conclusion from our previous study that increasing the Li ion concentration in Zr-MOFs improves sulfur utilization. In addition to improved utilization, cells constructed with LPS-Uio-66(noMod) and LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) demonstrate greater capacity retention than Uio-66(50Benz). We also compared the performance of cells containing LPS-MOF and Li-MOF (liothiated analogues) from our previous report (Figure S19 and Table S5). The added Li ions in the cathode (impacted by the deprotonation/lithiation approach or via Li$_x$PS$_y$) increased maximum deliverable capacity in both cases in comparison to unfunctionalized Uio-66(50Benz); however, capacity retention is significantly improved in the LPS-MOF cells in comparison to the Li-MOF cells. We attribute this capacity retention to improved polysulfide encapsulation afforded by the thiophosphate moiety. After 100 cycles (20× C/10, 80× C/5), the LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) and LPS-Uio-66(noMod) composite cathodes have average specific capacities of 835 and 767 mAh g$^{-1}$, in comparison to only 560 mAh g$^{-1}$ for the Uio-66(50Benz) composites (Figure 5b). Notably, the MOFs remains intact after cycling, as evidenced by TGA of the recovered cathodes. MOF-808 has more open sites than Uio-66, enhancing its ability to bind additional equivalents of the thiophosphate guest. From our LPS-Uio-66 results, we expect both the maximum capacity and the capacity retention to increase with increasing thiophosphate incorporation. Composite cathodes of LPS-MOF-808 samples synthesized using 0.7, 1, and 2 stoichiometric equiv were assembled into cells and cycled galvanostatically using the same procedure as for the LPS-Uio-66 series (Figure 4b). The compiled results in Figure 5a exhibit
a clear trend in maximum capacity delivery, with an average improvement over MOF-808 cells of ~70, 130, and 300 mAh g⁻¹ for 0.7x-, 1x-, and 2x-LPS-MOF-808 samples, respectively. Increased capacity is again attributed to the increased Li content within the functionalized MOF. After 100 cycles, the capacity retention resembles that of the LPS-Uio-66 series, with 0.7x-, 1x-, and 2x-LPS-MOF-808 cells delivering capacities of ~800 mAh g⁻¹, whereas the cells containing nonfunctionalized MOF-808 average less than ~700 mAh g⁻¹ (Figure S28). These observations suggest that the development of a more efficient loading method to increase thiophosphate incorporation would result in further improvements to cycling performance. Additionally, all cells constructed with LPS-MOF additives exhibit higher capacity retention in comparison to sulfur-carbon composite cathodes (45% S/C) (Figures S20 and S21).

Control experiments using electrodes constructed with 2x-LPS-MOF-808 with no added S were examined by both voltammetric (Figure S22) and galvanostatic (Figure S23) electrochemical tests. The results demonstrate that the LPS-MOF additive provides negligible electrochemical contribution by itself. To further probe the role of the MOF in cycling performance, a nonporous zirconium scaffold, ZrO₂, was also used as a control additive. Metal oxides have been previously explored as additives for Li–S batteries owing to their ability to chemisorb polysulfides. The surface of ZrO₂ is decorated with dangling hydroxides that can bind phosphates, carboxylates, and other oxygen-rich molecules. However, ZrO₂ lacks the internal porosity afforded by MOFs. When ZrO₂ is subjected to the same loading procedure as for the Zr-MOFs, the resulting sample (LPS-ZrO₂) has a relatively low Li content, as measured by Li AAS, but a high S:Zr ratio according to surface measurements by EDS (Table S2), suggesting that PS₄³⁻ incorporation into ZrO₂ is low and is concentrated on the surface of the particles. Coin cells containing ZrO₂ exhibit performance similar to that of the cells with nonfunctionalized MOFs, while the LPS-ZrO₂ cells fail within the first 100 cycles (Figure S24). In contrast to LPS-MOFs, LPS-ZrO₂ is unable to securely contain the thiophosphate within the cathode, resulting in dissolution and rapid cell failure.

Examination of coin cells by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) after cycling reveals the nature of the capacity decay. LPS-MOF-containing cells exhibit a lower electrode surface resistance in comparison to nonfunctionalized MOF, S/C, and LPS-ZrO₂ composite cells (Figures S25–S27), signifying that both the thiophosphate moiety and MOF together mitigate electrode passivation by decreasing polysulfide leaching from the cathode. Examination of the electrodes after cycling further validates this observation (Figures S28–S30). On the Li anode, dark yellow deposits are especially prevalent in the LPS-ZrO₂ cell. Elemental analysis of the dark substance by EDS reveals a high sulfur content, suggestive of a significant polysulfide dissolution from the cathode. An investigation of cells containing LPS-MOF composite cathodes showed a lesser degree of sulfur deposition on the anode, indicating that polysulfides are leached to a lesser extent in the presence of the functionalized MOFs.

Motivated by the improved capacity delivery and retention observed in LPS-MOF composite cells, we also explored their performance under more arduous cycling conditions. The LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) composite cell exhibits higher capacities in comparison to Uio-66(50Benz) at all C rates (Figure 6a,b), owing to enhanced sulfur utilization and polysulfide retention. Moreover, when it is returned to a lower C rate of C/10, the LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) cell is remarkably able to recover and maintain a capacity of ~1040 mAh g⁻¹, identical with the capacity after its first five cycles at C/10. In contrast, Uio-66(50Benz) and 45% S/C cells are unable to fully recover and maintain this capacity, losing nearly 200 mAh g⁻¹ in just 15 cycles. This superior ability of LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) to recover capacity is apparent even after the cells have been abused by continual cycling at charge rates up to 4C and prolonged storage in the discharged state. When the C rate is slowed to C/10 after these harsh cycling conditions, the LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) cell provides a capacity of 940 mAh g⁻¹, while the Uio-66(50Benz) cell can only reach 250 mAh g⁻¹ and the 45% S/C cell irreversibly decays to zero capacity. The results of these experiments are distinct from those for the analogous lithiated samples analyzed in our previous report, where even a sample with higher Li mass % but lacking PS₄³⁻ cannot recover or retain capacity to the same extent (Figure S19 and Table S5).

Cells constructed with LPS-MOF composite cathodes also show improved capacity delivery and retention in long-term cycling experiments at a C rate of 1C (Figure 6c). LPS-Uio-66(50Benz) and LPS-Uio-66(noMod) composite cathodes can deliver ~375 and ~300 mAh g⁻¹ after 600 cycles, respectively, whereas the 45% S/C cell is unable to retain even 100 mAh g⁻¹. This improvement over S/C is unprecedented in MOF composite cathode reports. Both variable C rate and long-term cycling experiments were also conducted using 2xLPS-MOF-808 composite cells, demonstrating results similar to those for the Uio-66 system (Figure S31). Future studies will aim to increase thiophosphate incorporation within the MOF, utilizing alternative loading methods to further mitigate polysulfide leaching from the cathode.

![Figure 6](image_url). Rate capabilities for cells constructed using LPS-Uio-66(50Benz), Uio-66(50Benz), and 45% S/C cathodes at (a) low to moderate charge rates (C/10–2C), and (b) moderate to high charge rates (C/2–4C). LPS-Uio-66(50Benz)-containing cells deliver high capacities at a variety of C rates and are able to recover capacity when they are returned to C/10. (c) Extended galvanostatic cycling profiles at 1C further demonstrating that LPS-Uio-66-containing cathodes outperform S/C in initial capacity and capacity retention.
In summary, we report the first account of utilizing lithium thiophosphates encapsulated in Zr MOFs for polysulfide capture. Our novel strategy takes advantage of the host–guest chemistry of the functionalized framework to stabilize these reactive species by slowing down P–S bond hydrolysis. Through extensive chemical and structural characterization, we establish PS$_4^{3-}$ binds to the open sites of the Zr nodes in a fashion that parallels reports of phosphate- and sulfate-functionalized Zr-MOFs. Tethering the PS$_4^{3-}$ group to the porous support of the MOF allows for reversible formation of S–S bonds with solubilized polysulfides formed during Li–S cycling, while thwarting the harmful dissolution of polysulfides and thiophosphate into the electrolyte. The benefits of thiophosphate incorporation are manifested in improved capacity delivery and retention at a variety of charge/discharge rates, where the overall performance gained is greater than the sum of the effects of the individual components. The rate capabilities and remarkable capacity recovery after abusive cycling are unparalleled in composite MOF Li–S cathodes. Our general strategy developed here motivates exploration of future discipline-transcending materials, where compound-specific shortcomings can be overcome using MOF hosts. Further studies are currently underway to examine the mechanisms of ion transport and electrochemical phenomena within these LPS-MOF devices.
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